arXiv:1601.06254v2 [math.DG] 1 Apr 2016

ATIYAH CLASSES AND DG-LIE ALGEBROIDS FOR MATCHED PAIRS

PANAGIOTIS BATAKIDIS AND YANNICK VOGLAIRE

Abstract. For every Lie pair (L, A) of algebroids we construct a dg-manifold structure on the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold $\mathcal{M} = L[1] \oplus L/A$ such that the inclusion $\iota : A[1] \to \mathcal{M}$ and the projection $p : \mathcal{M} \to L[1]$ are morphisms of dg-manifolds. The vertical tangent bundle $T^p\mathcal{M}$ then inherits a structure of dg-Lie algebroid over $\mathcal{M}$. When the Lie pair comes from a matched pair of Lie algebroids, we show that the inclusion ι induces a quasi-isomorphism that sends the Atiyah class of this dg-Lie algebroid to the Atiyah class of the Lie pair.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Lie algebroids and matched pairs 3
2.2. Differential graded manifolds 4
2.3. Atiyah classes 7
3. DG-Lie algebroids from Lie pairs 8
3.1. Setup 8
3.2. Contracting homotopy 10
3.3. Connections 11
3.4. Fedosov differential 12
4. Quasi-isomorphisms 14
4.1. Matched pairs 14
4.2. Fedosov resolution 14
4.3. Atiyah classes 16
References 18

1. Introduction

Atiyah classes form a bridge between complex geometry and Lie theory. They were introduced by Atiyah [1] as the obstruction to the existence of a holomorphic connection on a complex manifold. Much later, it was shown by Kapranov [13] that the Atiyah class of a complex manifold X endows the shifted holomorphic tangent bundle $T_X[-1]$ with a Lie algebra structure in the derived category of coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. This structure plays an important role in the construction

Date: April 4, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58A50, 17B70, 16E45, 53C05, 53C12.

We would like to extend our warm thanks to Ping Xu for suggesting the problem, and to Mathieu Stiénon and Ping Xu for many enlightening discussions. We thank Tiffany Covolo, Nguyen Viet Dang, Owen Gwilliam, Benoît Jubin, Stephen Kwok, Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Rajan Mehta, and Florian Schätz for discussions or correspondence about related subjects. We are grateful to Damien Calaque who kindly commented on our first preprint and pointed out to us Remark 1.4. P.B. thanks the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for its kind hospitality while this paper was being completed.

of Rozansky–Witten invariants [13, 14], which are parity-shifted analogues of the Chern–Simons invariants of three-manifolds. In his work on the deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds [15], Kontsevich provides another deep link between complex geometry and Lie theory, by relating a Lie algebraic analogue of the Todd class to the Duflo–Kirillov isomorphism. The above-mentioned works of Kontsevich and Kapranov sparked a wealth of further investigation, which resulted in vast generalizations of the notion of Atiyah class [4, 6, 8, 12, 18, 23].

The present paper intends to relate two such generalizations in the context of differential geometry. The first [6] is concerned with Lie pairs (L, A), i.e. inclusions $A \subset L$ of Lie algebroids over the same base. The Atiyah class is then an element $\alpha_{(L,A)}$ in

$$H^1(A, \mathrm{Hom}(L/A \otimes L/A, L/A)).$$

The second [18] is concerned with dg-Lie algebroids, i.e. graded Lie algebroids with a compatible homological vector field. The Atiyah class of a dg-Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ is an element $\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}$ in

$$H^1(\Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}))).$$

Our first main result realizes any Lie pair as a dg-Lie algebroid. The homological vector fields involved are obtained as Fedosov differentials [11, 10]. This result was independently proved by Stiénon–Xu [24].

Theorem 1.1. *Let (L, A) be a Lie pair, $\phi : L/A \to L$ a splitting of the canonical projection, and ∇ a torsion-free L-connection on L/A extending the A-action. Then*

$$\mathcal{M} = L[1] \oplus L/A$$

has a dg-manifold structure such that the inclusion $\iota : A[1] \to \mathcal{M}$ and the projection $p : \mathcal{M} \to L[1]$ are morphisms of dg-manifolds, and the vertical tangent bundle

$$\mathcal{D} = T^p\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$$

has a dg-Lie algebroid structure over $\mathcal{M}$. Both structures depend on ϕ and ∇.

A particularly nice family of Lie pairs arises from the so-called matched pairs of Lie algebroids [19]. Two Lie algebroids A and B over the same base form a matched pair if their (vector bundle) direct sum $L = A \oplus B$ is endowed with a structure of Lie algebroid in which A and B are Lie subalgebroids. Given a matched pair as above, we get a Lie pair (L, A) for which $L/A \cong B$.

Example 1.2. Let us give here a few examples of matched pairs of Lie algebroids for which Fedosov-type methods have been used (see the reference for each example below), and on which we largely draw in the context of this paper.

(1) $A = 0$, $B = TM$ for a manifold M (Dolgushev [10]).
(2) $A = M \times \mathfrak{g}$, $B = TM$ for a manifold M with a $\mathfrak{g}$-action (Dolgushev [10]).
(3) $A = T^{0,1}X$, $B = T^{1,0}X$ for a complex manifold X (Calaque–Rossi [3]).
(4) $A = 0$, $B = E$ for any Lie algebroid E (Calaque–Dolgushev–Halbout [2]).

Our second main result essentially says that, at least for matched pairs, the definition of Atiyah class for dg-Lie algebroids [18] contains the definition of Atiyah class for Lie pairs [6].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $L = A \oplus B$ be a matched pair of Lie algebroids, and ∇^B a torsion-free B-connection on B. Let $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}$ be the dg-Lie algebroid defined in Theorem 1.1.*

Then the pullback by the inclusion $\iota : A[1] \to \mathcal{M}$ yields a quasi-isomorphism

$$\iota^* : (\Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})), D) \to (\Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B)), d_A)$$

whose induced map in cohomology sends the Atiyah class $\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}$ of the dg-Lie algebroid $\mathcal{D}$ to the Atiyah class $\alpha_{(L,A)}$ of the Lie pair (L, A).

Here, D is the dg-module structure on the space of sections of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D}\otimes\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}) \to \mathcal{M}$ *induced from the dg-manifold structure on $\mathcal{D}$, and d_A is the Lie algebroid differential for the* $\mathrm{Hom}(B\otimes B, B)$*-valued A-cohomology.*

Remark 1.4. In [4, Section 8], Calaque and Van den Bergh introduce dDG-algebras and attach Atiyah classes to them. Matched pairs and dg-Lie algebroids both naturally define dDG-algebras through their Chevalley–Eilenberg algebras, and the corresponding Atiyah classes in the sense of [4] coincide with those of [6] and [18]. Our result can then be seen as a generalization to the case of a general matched pair of [4, Section 8.4] (restricted to the site of smooth manifolds), where they consider the case $A = 0$, $B = E$ for a Lie algebroid E and compute the Atiyah class of E in terms of jet bundles. △

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall standard facts about Lie algebroids and matched pairs of Lie algebroids, we introduce dg-manifolds and dg-Lie algebroids, and describe the Atiyah classes we will be concerned with. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3.

2. Preliminaries

In the whole paper, $\mathbb{K}$ is either the field of real or complex numbers, $C^\infty(M)$ denotes the sheaf of smooth $\mathbb{K}$-valued functions on a smooth manifold M, and $T_{\mathbb{K}}M$ denotes the tangent bundle TM tensored with $M\times\mathbb{K}$. The sheaf of smooth sections of a vector bundle $E \to M$ is denoted by $\Gamma(M,E)$, or $\Gamma(E)$ when no confusion can arise. The dual of a vector bundle E is denoted by $E^\vee$.

2.1. **Lie algebroids and matched pairs.** A *Lie algebroid* (over $\mathbb{K}$) is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector bundle $L \to M$ over a smooth manifold, together with a Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$ on the space $\Gamma(L)$ of sections of L, and a bundle map $\rho : L \to T_{\mathbb{K}}M$ —an *anchor*— satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$[l, fl'] = f[l,l'] + \rho(l)(f)l', \quad \forall l,l' \in \Gamma(L), f \in C^\infty(M).$$

A *(base-preserving) morphism of Lie algebroids* is a bundle map $L \to L'$ covering the identity $M \to M$, commuting with the anchors of L and L', and inducing a Lie algebra morphism $\Gamma(L) \to \Gamma(L')$ on sections.

For a Lie algebroid $L \to M$ and a vector bundle $E \to M$, an *L-connection on E* is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map

$$\nabla : \Gamma(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E) : l \otimes e \mapsto \nabla_l e$$

such that

$$\nabla_{fl} e = f\nabla_l e, \qquad \nabla_l fe = f\nabla_l e + \rho(l)(f)e,$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$, and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. The *curvature* of ∇ is the bundle map $R^\nabla : L \wedge L \to \mathrm{End}(E)$ defined on sections by

$$R^\nabla(l,l') = \nabla_l\nabla_{l'} - \nabla_{l'}\nabla_l - \nabla_{[l,l']}, \quad \forall l,l' \in \Gamma(L).$$

The connection is called *flat* if its curvature vanishes, and E is then called an *L-module*.

Any L-connection on E

(1) induces an L-connection on the dual vector bundle $E^\vee$ defined by $\langle \nabla_l \epsilon, e\rangle = \rho(l)(\langle \epsilon, e\rangle) - \langle \epsilon, \nabla_l e\rangle$, for all $l \in \Gamma(L)$, $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^\vee)$, and $e \in \Gamma(E)$;
(2) extends by derivations to the tensor algebra $T(E)$, the symmetric algebra $S(E)$, the symmetric algebra on the dual $S(E^\vee)$, the exterior algebra $\Lambda(E)$, etc.;

(3) when seen as a map $\nabla : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee \otimes E)$, extends to an operator $\nabla : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes E) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1} L^\vee \otimes E)$ by the formula $\nabla(\omega \otimes e) = d\omega \otimes e + (-1)^n \omega \wedge \nabla e$ for all $\omega \in \Lambda^n L^\vee$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$.[1]

When E is an L-module with flat connection ∇, the degree 1 operator $d_E = \nabla$ on $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes E)$ is a differential: $d_E \circ d_E = 0$. It is called the *Lie algebroid differential* for the E-valued L-cohomology.

A *Lie pair* [6] is a pair (L, A) where A is a Lie subalgebroid of a Lie algebroid L over the same base. Given a Lie pair (L, A), the quotient L/A is canonically an A-module with flat connection $\nabla^A_a \overline{l} = \overline{[a, l]}$. Here $l \mapsto \overline{l}$ is the projection $L \to L/A$. An L-connection ∇ on L/A is said to *extend the A-action* if $\nabla_a \overline{l} = \nabla^A_a \overline{l}$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $l \in \Gamma(L)$. The *torsion* of an L-connection ∇ on L/A is the bundle map $T^\nabla : L \wedge L \to L/A$ defined on sections by

$$T^\nabla(l, l') = \nabla_l \overline{l'} - \nabla_{l'} \overline{l} - \overline{[l, l']}. \tag{1}$$

The torsion tensor descends to a tensor $\overline{T}^\nabla : L/A \wedge L/A \to L/A$ if and only if the connection extends the A-action. By the usual trick $\nabla \mapsto \nabla - \frac{1}{2} T^\nabla$, one can associate a torsion-free connection to any connection.

We will say that a Lie algebroid L is *formed from a matched pair* (A, B) [19] if L is the direct sum (as a vector bundle) of two Lie subalgebroids A and B. In that case, the Lie algebroid structure on L induces an A-module structure ∇^A on B and a B-module structure ∇^B on A defined by

$$\nabla^A_a b = \mathrm{pr}_B[a, b],$$
$$\nabla^B_b a = \mathrm{pr}_A[b, a],$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $b \in \Gamma(B)$. Here, $\mathrm{pr}_A, \mathrm{pr}_B$ denote the canonical projections from L to A and B, respectively. Torsion-free L-connections ∇^L on $L/A \cong B$ extending the A-action are in bijection with torsion-free B-connections ∇^B on B. The bijection is defined by $\nabla^L \longleftrightarrow \nabla^A + \nabla^B$.

2.2. **Differential graded manifolds.** In this section, we briefly explain the notions of differential graded manifold and differential graded Lie algebroid that we will use in this paper. There exist many variants of the notion of dg-manifold. We follow [18][2], whose definition might differ from others [7, 16, 17, 21, 22, 25] in at least two respects: the local model for the sheaf of functions on the underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold is $C^\infty(U) \otimes \hat{S}(V^\vee)$, where $\hat{S}(V^\vee)$ are the *formal polynomial functions* on a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space V, and V may have a *non-trivial degree zero part*. Two advantages of such requirements are the following. First, working with formal polynomial functions ensures that the stalks are local rings. Secondly, having degree zero formal variables allows to treat functions on a formal neighborhood of a manifold simply as "functions" on a suitable graded manifold.

A *$\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold* $\mathcal{M}$ is a pair $(M, C^\infty(\mathcal{M}))$ composed of a smooth manifold M and a sheaf $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, graded-commutative algebras over M such that there is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathbb{K}$-vector space V such that $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ is locally isomorphic to $C^\infty(U) \otimes \hat{S}(V^\vee)$, $U \subset M$. This means that, around each point $x \in M$, there exists an open neighborhood $U \subset M$ and an isomorphism $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})|_U \to C^\infty(U) \otimes \hat{S}(V^\vee)$ of sheaves of graded algebras over U.

Above, a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $V = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V^i$ is called *finite-dimensional* if $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim V^i < \infty$.

[1] If $\nabla e = \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes e_i$, then $\omega \wedge \nabla e$ means $\sum_i (\omega \wedge \alpha_i) \otimes e_i$.

[2] In addition to [18], we refer to [16] for more details on the constructions presented in this section.

All $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifolds will be denoted by calligraphic letters $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \ldots$ and the underlying smooth manifolds, called their *body* or *reduced manifold*, will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{red}}, \ldots$. So, in the definition above, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}} = M$.

An *open submanifold* of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is an open submanifold U of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}}$ together with the restriction of the sheaf $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ to U.

A *morphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifolds* $\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a pair $\phi = (f, \phi^*)$ where f is a smooth map $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{red}} \to \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{red}}$ and ϕ^* is a morphism of sheaves of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras $C^\infty(\mathcal{N}) \to f_* C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

One can show as in [9, Section 6] that, although it is not apparent, there is a canonical augmentation map $C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(M)$, hence an inclusion $M \to \mathcal{M}$, that is compatible with all morphisms.

We will mostly consider, as in e.g. [5, Appendix A], graded manifolds coming from ordinary graded vector bundles. To an ordinary $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector bundle $E = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} E^i$ over M, we associate a graded manifold

$$\underline{E} = (M, C^\infty(\underline{E})) \tag{2}$$

defined by $C^\infty(\underline{E}) = \Gamma(M, \hat{S}(E^\vee))$ with augmentation given by evaluation at the zero section of E. With the obvious map on morphisms, this yields a functor $E \mapsto \underline{E}$ from the category of graded vector bundles to that of graded manifolds.[3]

A *dg-manifold* is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ together with a homological vector field on $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. a derivation Q of degree 1 of the algebra of global sections of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ such that $Q \circ Q = 0$.

A *graded vector bundle* of rank $(k, \{k_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}})$ over a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is a graded manifold $\mathcal{E}$ with a morphism $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ and an atlas of local trivializations $\{\mathcal{M}_i, \phi_i\}_{i\in I}$ with, for each $i \in I$, $\mathcal{M}_i$ an open submanifold of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\phi_i : \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_i) \to \mathcal{M}_i \times (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{K}^{\{k_i\}})$ an isomorphism of graded manifolds over a diffeomorphism $\phi_{i\,\mathrm{red}} : (\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_i))_{\mathrm{red}} \to \mathcal{M}_{i\,\mathrm{red}} \times \mathbb{R}^k$, such that the transition functions are linear in the $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{K}^{\{k_i\}}$ coordinates. Here, $\mathbb{K}^{\{k_i\}}$ is the graded vector space $\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}^{k_i}$ where each $\mathbb{K}^{k_i}$ is in degree i.

It is clear from the definition of graded vector bundle that there is a well-defined subspace

$$C^\infty_{\mathrm{lin}}(\mathcal{E}) \subset C^\infty(\mathcal{E})$$

of *linear* functions on $\mathcal{E}$ (i.e. linear in the fibers of $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$).

There is a degree-shift functor acting on the category of graded vector bundles. First, define $\mathbb{K}[-i]$ as the graded vector space with $\mathbb{K}$ in degree i and the zero vector space in all other degrees. The *i-th shift functor* $[i]$ on the category of graded vector spaces sends V to $V \otimes \mathbb{K}[i]$. Denoting by $V_{\mathcal{M}}$ the trivial graded vector bundle $\mathcal{M} \times \underline{V}$ (with V seen as a vector bundle over a point), the functor is then extended to graded vector bundles over $\mathcal{M}$ by setting

$$[i] : \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{K}[i]_{\mathcal{M}},$$

by which we mean that, in every local trivialization, the "formal" part of the fiber of $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ is tensored with $\mathbb{K}[i]$. Notice that the smooth part of the fiber is not affected by this operation.

For any graded vector bundle, $\Gamma^i(\mathcal{E}) = \Gamma^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$ denotes the space of degree i sections, i.e. of (degree-preserving) morphisms of graded manifolds $\sigma : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{E}[i]$ that are sections of the shifted projection $\pi[i] : \mathcal{E}[i] \to \mathcal{M}$.

A (degree k) vector field on a graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is by definition a (degree k) derivation of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. A *linear* vector field on a graded vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a vector field on $\mathcal{E}$ which preserves the subspace $C^\infty_{\mathrm{lin}}(\mathcal{E})$ of linear functions. There is a

[3] In this language, the local model $(U, C^\infty(U) \otimes \hat{S}(V^\vee))$ in the definition of graded manifold is the graded manifold corresponding to the trivial vector bundle $U \times V$ over U.

correspondence between vector fields on $\mathcal{M}$ and sections of the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{M}$ [16, Proposition 3.6]. Linear vector fields of degree k on $\mathcal{E}$ correspond to sections of $T[k]\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ which are bundle maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & T[k]\mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M} & \longrightarrow & T[k]\mathcal{M} \end{array} .$$

Linear vector fields can be shifted: any linear vector field X of degree k on $\mathcal{E}$ yields a linear vector field X_j of degree k on $\mathcal{E}[j]$ defined by

$$X_j(\hat{\epsilon}) = \widehat{X(\epsilon)}$$

for all $\epsilon \in C^\infty_{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{E})$. Here, $C^\infty_{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{E}) \to C^\infty_{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{E}[j]) : \epsilon \mapsto \hat{\epsilon}$ is the canonical isomorphism of left $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$-modules.

A *dg-vector bundle* is a graded vector bundle $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are dg-manifolds and π is a morphism of dg-manifolds. This induces a degree 1 operator

$$Q : \sigma^* \mapsto Q_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \sigma^* - (-1)^{|\sigma|}\sigma^* \circ Q_{\mathcal{E}} \tag{3}$$

on the space of sections $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{E})$.

Just as the prototypical example of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded manifold is of the form $\underline{E}$ for some ordinary graded vector bundle E, the prototypical example of a graded vector bundle is $\underline{E''} \to \underline{E}$, where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E'' & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E' & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

is a double vector bundle [20] with $E'' \to E'$ and $E \to M$ two ordinary graded vector bundles and all horizontal maps being morphisms of graded bundles.

Since we will mostly consider that case, let us give an explicit form for the space of sections of graded vector bundles of the kind $\underline{E \oplus F} \to \underline{E}$, for two ordinary graded vector bundles E, F over the same base.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $E, F \to M$ be two ordinary graded vector bundles. Then $\underline{E \oplus F}$ is a graded vector bundle over $\underline{E}$ and we have an isomorphism*

$$\Gamma(\underline{E}, \underline{E \oplus F}) \cong \Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee) \otimes F)$$

of graded $C^\infty(\underline{E})$-modules, where $\Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee) \otimes F)$ is graded by the total degree (i.e., the sum of the total degree in $\hat{S}(E^\vee)$ and the degree in F).

Proof. The degree shift functor $[k]$ applied to the graded vector bundle $\underline{E \oplus F} \to \underline{E}$ yields simply $\underline{E \oplus F[k]} \to \underline{E}$. The space of functions on $\underline{E \oplus F[k]}$ is $\Gamma(\hat{S}((E \oplus F[k])^\vee) \cong \Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee)\hat{\otimes}\hat{S}(F^\vee[-k]))$.

To each section $\overline{\sigma} = \sum_i p_i \otimes f_i$ of total degree k of $\hat{S}(E^\vee) \otimes F$ corresponds a section $\sigma = (\mathrm{id}, \sigma^*)$ of degree k of the graded vector bundle $\underline{E \oplus F} \to \underline{E}$. The latter section is the graded algebra morphism from $C^\infty(\underline{E \oplus F[k]})$ to $C^\infty(\underline{E})$ that is uniquely determined by the requirements $\sigma^*(1 \otimes 1) = 1$, $\sigma^*(\epsilon \otimes 1) = \epsilon$ and $\sigma^*(1 \otimes \phi) = \sum_i \tilde{\phi}(f_i)p_i$, for all $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E^\vee)$ and $\phi \in \Gamma(F^\vee[-k])$. Here, $\tilde{\phi}$ denotes the element in $\Gamma(F^\vee)$ corresponding to ϕ.

Conversely, any degree k section $\sigma = (\mathrm{id}, \sigma^*)$ of $\underline{E \oplus F}$, when restristed to *linear* functions of the form $1 \otimes \phi \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee)\hat{\otimes}\hat{S}(F^\vee[-k]))$ with $\phi \in \Gamma(F^\vee[-k])$, yields a

degree zero $C^\infty(M)$-linear map $\Gamma(F^\vee[-k]) \to \Gamma(\hat{S}(E^\vee))$, hence a degree k section $\overline{\sigma}$ of $\hat{S}(E^\vee) \otimes F^\vee$.

It is easily checked that these assignments are inverse of each other and satisfy all the required properties. □

A *graded Lie algebroid* is a graded vector bundle $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ with a degree zero bundle map $\rho : \mathcal{A} \to T\mathcal{M}$ (the anchor) and a degree zero Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]$ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying the Leibniz rule

$$[X, fY] = \rho(X)(f)Y + (-1)^{|X||f|} f[X, Y]$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ and $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

As in the non-graded case, a graded Lie algebroid structure on a graded vector bundle $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ induces [16, Theorem 4.6] a (quadratic in the fiber coordinates) homological vector field $d_\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{A}[1]$. Locally, this vector field takes the form

$$d_\mathcal{A} = \lambda^\alpha \rho^i_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - (-1)^{|\lambda_\alpha|(|\lambda_\beta|+1)} \frac{1}{2} \lambda^\alpha \lambda^\beta C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^\gamma}.$$

Here, x^i is a set of local coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$, X_α is a frame of local sections of $\mathcal{A}$ with degree $|X_\alpha|$, λ^α are the degree-shifted fiber coordinates dual to X_α (with $|\lambda^\alpha| = -|X_\alpha| + 1$), ρ^i_α are the local functions defined by $\rho(X_\alpha) = \rho^i_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, and $C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are the structure functions defined by $[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = C^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} X_\gamma$.

A *dg-Lie algebroid* is a graded Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ (with associated differential $d_\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{A}[1]$) endowed with a *linear* homological vector field $Q_\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ such that $[d_\mathcal{A}, (Q_\mathcal{A})_1] = 0$.

Example 2.2. (1) Any Lie algebroid is trivially a dg-Lie algebroid.
(2) Given a graded Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathcal{M}$, $T[1]\mathcal{A}$ is a dg-Lie algebroid over $T[1]\mathcal{M}$ (see [16, Section 5.2]).
(3) Given a dg-manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with homological vector field Q, $T\mathcal{M}$ is dg-Lie algebroid over $\mathcal{M}$ with homological vector field $Q_{T\mathcal{M}}$ such that the associated degree 1 operator (3) on $\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, T\mathcal{M})$ is L_Q, the Lie derivative with respect to Q.

Lemma 2.3 ([18, Lemma 1.7])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a dg-manifold with homological vector field Q and let $\mathcal{D}$ be an integrable distribution in $T\mathcal{M}$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is preserved by the Lie derivative L_Q, then $\mathcal{D}$ is a dg-Lie algebroid.*

For a dg-Lie algebroid $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{M}$ and a vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$, an *$\mathcal{L}$-connection on $\mathcal{E}$* is a $\mathbb{K}$-linear map

$$\nabla : \Gamma(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_\mathbb{K} \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) : l \otimes e \mapsto \nabla_l e$$

such that

$$\nabla_{fl} e = f \nabla_l e, \qquad \nabla_l fe = (-1)^{|l||f|} f \nabla_l e + \rho(l)(f) e,$$

for all $l \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$, $e \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$, and $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.

2.3. **Atiyah classes.** In this section, we introduce the two notions of Atiyah class that we intend to relate in Section 4 in the case of matched pairs of Lie algebroids. The first is the Atiyah class of an A-module relative to a Lie pair [6] and the second is the Atiyah class of a dg-vector bundle relative to a dg-Lie algebroid [18].

2.3.1. *Lie pairs.* Let (L, A) be a Lie pair, and E an A-module. Let ∇ be any L-connection on E extending the A-action, i.e. such that $\nabla_a e$ coincides with the A-action $a \cdot e$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. Let $R^\nabla : L \wedge L \to \operatorname{End} E$ be the curvature of ∇, and define a map $\mathrm{At}^\nabla : A \to \operatorname{Hom}(L/A \otimes E, E)$ by

$$\mathrm{At}^\nabla(a)(\overline{l}, e) = R^\nabla(a, l)e \tag{4}$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $l \in \Gamma(L)$, and $e \in \Gamma(E)$.

Proposition 2.4 ([6]). *(1) The map* At^{∇} *is well-defined and is a 1-cocycle for the* A*-cohomology with values in the* A*-module* $\mathrm{Hom}(L/A \otimes E, E)$.
(2) The cohomology class $\alpha_{(L,A),E}$ *of* At^{∇} *in* $H^1(A, \mathrm{Hom}(L/A \otimes E, E))$ *is independent of the choice of the connection* ∇ *extending the* A*-action. It is called* the Atiyah class of the A-module E relative to the Lie pair (L, A).

Definition 2.5. The *Atiyah class* $\alpha_{(L,A)}$ *of a Lie pair* (L, A) is $\alpha_{(L,A),L/A}$.

2.3.2. *dg-Lie algebroids.* Let $(\mathcal{A}, Q_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a dg-Lie algebroid and $(\mathcal{E}, Q_{\mathcal{E}})$ a dg-vector bundle over the same base. Let ∇ be an $\mathcal{A}$-connection on $\mathcal{E}$. Define a map $\mathrm{At}^{\nabla} : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\mathrm{At}^{\nabla}(a, e) = Q_{\mathcal{E}}(\nabla_a e) - \nabla_{Q_{\mathcal{A}}(a)} e - (-1)^{|a|} \nabla_a Q_{\mathcal{E}}(e) \tag{5}$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ and $e \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 2.6 ([18]). *(1)* At^{∇} *can be regarded as a degree* 1 *section of* $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$.
(2) At^{∇} *is a cocycle:* $Q(\mathrm{At}^{\nabla}) = 0$.
(3) The cohomology class $\alpha_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E}}$ *of* At^{∇} *in* $H^1(\Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})), Q)$ *is independent of the choice of the connection* ∇. *It is called* the Atiyah class of the dg-vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ relative to the dg-Lie algebroid $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.7. The *Atiyah class* $\alpha_{\mathcal{A}}$ *of a dg-Lie algebroid* $\mathcal{A}$ is $\alpha_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}}$.

3. DG-Lie algebroids from Lie pairs

In this section, we prove our first main result, Theorem 1.1. The techniques used in this section and the next one closely follow Dolgushev's paper [10] and the subsequent works [2], [3, Section 10].

3.1. **Setup.** Let (L, A) be a Lie pair, and let $\phi : L/A \to L$ be a splitting of the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \to A \to L \to L/A \to 0.$$

Denote by B the image of ϕ in L.

The inclusion $i : A \to L$ induces an inclusion of graded vector spaces $A[1] \to L[1] \to L[1] \oplus L/A$, where the second map is the inclusion as the first component. Applying the functor (2) from graded vector spaces to graded manifolds, we get an inclusion of graded manifolds

$$\iota : \underline{A[1]} \to \underline{L[1] \oplus L/A}$$

over the identity from M to M.

Using the splitting ϕ, we may identify $L[1] \oplus L/A$ with $A[1] \oplus B[1] \oplus B$. Hence, we have a projection of graded manifolds

$$\pi : \underline{A[1] \oplus B[1] \oplus B} \to \underline{A[1]}$$

over the identity from M to M.

Let us define

$$\mathcal{M} = \underline{A[1] \oplus B[1] \oplus B}, \qquad \mathcal{A} = \underline{A[1]}.$$

So we have

$$\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{A} : \iota.$$

Let us pick a system of local coordinates

$$x^1, \dots, x^n, \alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^t, \beta^1, \dots, \beta^s, b^1, \dots, b^s \tag{6}$$

on $\mathcal{M}$, where the x^i are coordinates on M, the α^i (respectively, b^i) are linear coordinates on the fibers of $A[1]$ (respectively, B), and the β^i are the b^i seen as

coordinates on $B[1]$ (so they have degree 1). Let us denote by λ^i, $i = 1, \dots, s+t$, the coordinates $\beta^1, \dots, \beta^r, \alpha^1, \dots, \beta^s$ collectively.

The Euler vector field generating the homotheties of the vector bundle B induces a degree 1 vector field δ on $\underline{B[1] \oplus B}$ and hence on $\mathcal{M}$. It reads

$$\delta = \mathrm{id} \otimes \beta^i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial b^i}$$

or, more explicitly,

$$\delta(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes b) = (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \alpha \otimes (\beta \wedge \beta^i) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial b^i} b,$$

for homogeneous elements $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee))$, $\beta \in \Gamma(\Lambda(B^\vee))$, $b \in \Gamma(\hat{S}(B^\vee))$. An easy check shows that δ is defined globally and that

$$\delta \circ \delta = 0.$$

As in [11, 10, 2], there is a homotopy $\kappa : C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ vanishing on $\Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes \Lambda^0 B^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ and sending, for each $q \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$, $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \Lambda^q B^\vee \otimes S^r B^\vee)$ to $\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \Lambda^{q-1} B^\vee \otimes S^{r+1} B^\vee)$ by

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{q+r} \mathrm{id} \otimes i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^i}} \otimes b^i$$

or, more explicitly,

$$\kappa(\alpha \otimes \beta \otimes b) = \frac{1}{q+r} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \otimes i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta^i}} \beta \otimes b^i b,$$

for homogeneous elements $\alpha \in \Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee))$, $\beta \in \Gamma(\Lambda^q B^\vee)$, $b \in \Gamma(S^r B^\vee)$.

Remark 3.1. To shorten the notation, let us write for any vector bundle F on M

$$\Omega^\bullet(F) = \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes F),$$
$$\Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}(F) = \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \Lambda^\bullet B^\vee \otimes F),$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} = \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B),$$
$$\mathcal{B}^{\geq i} = \hat{S}^{\geq i}(B^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B),$$
$$\mathcal{B}^i = S^i(B^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B).$$

So, for example, depending on the context we will use the following notation for the space of functions on $\mathcal{M}$,

$$C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) = \Gamma(\Lambda(L^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) = \Omega^\bullet(\hat{S}(B^\vee)),$$

this space being isomorphic to the space

$$\Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes \Lambda(B^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) = \Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}(\hat{S}(B^\vee)). \qquad \triangle$$

We now extend the definitions of δ and κ to some vector bundles over $\mathcal{M}$. Specifically, consider the graded vector bundle

$$\mathcal{D} = \underline{L[1] \oplus B \oplus B} \to \mathcal{M} = \underline{L[1] \oplus B}, \tag{7}$$

whose sections are $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}) = \Gamma(\Lambda(L^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$. We consider it as the kernel of the differential of the projection of graded manifolds $L[1] \oplus B \to L[1]$, hence as an integrable subbundle of $T\mathcal{M}$ and can thus see its sections as derivations of the algebra of functions on $\mathcal{M}$: elementary (local) sections $Y = \lambda \otimes b \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial b^i} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ act on elementary functions $f = \lambda' \otimes b' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ by

$$Y(f) = \lambda \wedge \lambda' \otimes b \frac{\partial}{\partial b^i} b'.$$

The operator δ then extends to $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ by the Lie derivative,

$$\delta(Y) = [\delta, Y] = \delta \circ Y - (-1)^{|Y|} Y \circ \delta.$$

We consider a second bundle obtained from $\mathcal{D}$, the bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D}\otimes\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ of graded vector bundle homomorphisms from $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{D}$, whose sections are

$$\Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})) = \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}) = \Gamma(\Lambda(L^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B)).$$

If $\phi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}))$, we define

$$\delta(\phi) = \delta \circ \phi - (-1)^{|\phi|} \phi \circ (\delta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta).$$

Since $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ is generated by $\Gamma(B)$ as a $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$-module, we may extend κ to $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ by

$$\kappa(Y) = \kappa(f^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial b^i}$$

for any local section $Y = f^i \frac{\partial}{\partial b^i}$ of $\mathcal{D}$, with f^i local functions on $\mathcal{M}$. This is independent of the chosen local basis since κ vanishes on $C^\infty(M) \subset C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. Similarly, κ is extended to $\Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}))$. Note that δ could have been defined in the same way, as it commutes with $\Gamma(B) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$.

3.2. **Contracting homotopy.** Let F be one of the following three vector bundles over M,

$$F = M \times \mathbb{K}, \qquad F = B, \qquad F = \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B). \tag{8}$$

We have graded vector bundles

$$F_{\mathcal{M}} = \underline{A[1] \oplus B[1] \oplus B \oplus F}$$

over $\mathcal{M}$ (with sections $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, and $\Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}))$, respectively) and

$$F_{\mathcal{A}} = \underline{A[1] \oplus F}$$

over $\mathcal{A}$ such that $F_{\mathcal{M}} \cong \pi^* F_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Consider the space $\Gamma(\Lambda^p A^\vee \otimes F)$ of degree p sections of $F_{\mathcal{A}}$ as a positive complex concentrated in degree zero with zero differential. Consider the space $\Omega^{p,\bullet}(\hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes F)$ of sections of $F_{\mathcal{M}}$ with A-form degree p as a positive complex graded by the B-form degree with δ as differential. The pullback ι^* is a morphism between these two complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Omega^{p,0}(\hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes F) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \Omega^{p,1}(\hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes F) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \cdots \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota^*} \uparrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota^*} & & \\
\Gamma(\Lambda^p A^\vee \otimes F) & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 & \xrightarrow{0} & \cdots
\end{array}$$

and we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *The map κ, together with $\pi^* : \Gamma(\Lambda^p A^\vee \otimes F) \to \Omega^{p,0}(\hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes F)$, is a contracting homotopy of $(\Gamma(\mathcal{M}, F_{\mathcal{M}}), \delta)$ over $(\Gamma(\mathcal{A}, F_{\mathcal{A}}), 0)$, i.e. we have*

(1) $\kappa \circ \kappa = 0$,
(2) $\iota^* \circ \pi^* = \mathrm{id}$,
(3) $\delta \circ \kappa + \kappa \circ \delta = \mathrm{id} - \pi^* \circ \iota^*$ *(homotopy formula).*

Proof. Straightforward computations. □

Corollary 3.3. *For all $p \in \mathbb{N}$, the map*

$$\iota^* : (\Omega^{p,\bullet}(\hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes F), \delta) \to (\Gamma(\Lambda^p A^\vee \otimes F), 0)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, i.e.

(1) $H^q\left(\Omega^{p,\bullet}(\hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes F), \delta\right) = 0$ *for $q > 0$, and*

(2) $H^0\left(\Omega^{p,\bullet}(\hat{S}(B^\vee)\otimes F),\delta\right)\simeq\Gamma(\Lambda^p A^\vee\otimes F)$.

3.3. **Connections.** As some of the forthcoming computations are most easily carried out in coordinates, let us give here coordinate expressions for connections and their associated tensors.

We defined linear coordinates b^i on the fibers of B in (6), which we can see as local constant sections of $B^\vee$. Let us write $b_i=\frac{\partial}{\partial b^i}$, λ^i for the coordinates α^i and β^i collectively, and l_i for a dual basis.

The Christoffel symbols of an L-connection ∇ on B are defined by

$$\nabla(b_j)=\lambda^i\Gamma_{ij}^k b_k.$$

The operator extending ∇ to B-valued L-forms reads

$$\nabla=d_L+\Gamma=\lambda^i\rho_i^j\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\lambda^j C_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^k}+\lambda^i\Gamma_{ij}^k b_k\frac{\partial}{\partial b_j},$$

where ρ_i^j are the components of the anchor map, and C_{ij}^k are the structure functions of L.

The torsion tensor (1) is

$$T(l_i,l_j)=T_{ij}^k b_k$$

which yields

$$T_{ij}^k=\Gamma_{ij}^k-\Gamma_{ji}^k-C_{ij}^k$$

for i,j,k B-indices, and

$$T_{ij}^k=\Gamma_{ij}^k-C_{ij}^k$$

for i an A-index, and j,k B-indices.

The curvature tensor is

$$R(l_i,l_j)b_k=R_{ijk}^l b_l,$$

or $R=\frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\lambda^j R_{ijk}^l b_l\frac{\partial}{\partial b_k}$, which yields

$$R_{ijk}^l=\rho(\lambda_i)(\Gamma_{jk}^l)-\rho(\lambda_j)(\Gamma_{ik}^l)+\Gamma_{im}^l\Gamma_{jk}^m-\Gamma_{jm}^l\Gamma_{ik}^m-C_{ij}^m\Gamma_{mk}^l.$$

An easy computation shows that

$$\nabla^2=R.$$

The Atiyah cocycle At^∇ of ∇ is the restriction of R to $l_i\in A$ and $l_j\in B$, see (4).

The dual L-connection on $B^\vee$ has Christoffel symbols $-\Gamma_{ij}^k$,

$$\nabla(b^k)=-\lambda^i\Gamma_{ij}^k b^j.$$

Its torsion and curvature tensors $T^\vee$ and $R^\vee$ have coordinates $-T_{ij}^k$ and $-R_{ijk}^l$. So, for the record, we note

$$R^\vee=-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\lambda^j R_{ijk}^l b^k\frac{\partial}{\partial b^l}.$$

The extension of ∇ to $\hat{S}(B^\vee)$-valued L-forms, i.e. to $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, reads

$$\nabla=\lambda^i\rho_i^j\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}-\frac{1}{2}\lambda^i\lambda^j C_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda^k}-\lambda^i\Gamma_{ij}^k b^j\frac{\partial}{\partial b^k}\tag{9}$$

and has again curvature $-R_{ijk}^l$. The derivation ∇ of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ satisfies

$$\nabla^2=R^\vee.\tag{10}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let ∇ be a torsion-free L-connection on B, and extend it to $\hat{S}(B^\vee)$. Then*

(1) $\nabla\circ\delta+\delta\circ\nabla=0$*;*
(2) $\nabla(R^\vee)=0$ *(first Bianchi identity);*
(3) $\delta(R^\vee)=\delta\circ R^\vee+R^\vee\circ\delta=0$ *(second Bianchi identity).*
(4) $R(a,b)b'=R(a,b')b$ *for* $a\in\Gamma(A)$, $b,b'\in\Gamma(B)$.

Proof. The first item follows from a straightforward computation using the coordinate expressions above. The second item is the usual first Bianchi identity. The third item follows from the first one and the identity $\nabla^2 = R^\vee$. The last item is a direct computation. □

3.4. **Fedosov differential.** In this section, we build the homological vector field on the graded manifold $\mathcal{M}$ as a Fedosov differential, and use it to put a dg-Lie algebroid structure on the graded vector bundle $\mathcal{D}$ defined in (7).

All spaces of sections that contain tensor products with sections of $\hat{S}(B^\vee)$ are naturally graded by the polynomial degree of the tensor product. We will call it the *b-degree*. By construction, κ raises the b-degree by one.

The next lemma, or its idea, is key to many of the proofs here and in all previous papers using Fedosov techniques, starting with [11].

Lemma 3.5 (The Fedosov trick)**.** *Let $D = -\delta + A$ be a derivation of $\Gamma(\Lambda(L^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ with A not decreasing the b-degree. Let s be a section of $\Gamma(\Lambda(L^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes F)$ with F one of the bundles in (8).*

If $D(s) = 0$, $\kappa(s) = 0$, and $\iota^*(s) = 0$, then $s = 0$.

Proof. The equation $D(s) = 0$ reads

$$-\delta(s) + A(s) = 0.$$

Applying κ, and using the assumptions $\kappa(s) = 0$, $\iota^*(s) = 0$, and the homotopy formula, one gets

$$s = \kappa(A(s)).$$

By iteration, this implies $s = 0$ since κ raises the b-degree and A does not decrease it. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let ∇^L be a torsion-free L-connection on B extending the A-action. There is a unique vector field*

$$X = \sum_{k \geq 2} X_k$$

with $X_k \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee \otimes S^k(B^\vee) \otimes B)$ satisfying the equation $\kappa(X) = 0$ and such that the derivation $D : \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^{\bullet+1} L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee))$ defined by

$$D = \nabla^L - \delta + X$$

satisfies $D^2 = 0$. This solution is such that $X_2 = \kappa(R^\vee)$.

Proof. Let $X = \sum_{k \geq 2} X_k$ be a vector field with $X_k \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee \otimes S^k(B^\vee) \otimes B)$ and such that $\kappa(X) = 0$. By definition, we have $\iota^*(X) = 0$.

The operator $D = \nabla - \delta + X$ squares to zero if and only if $\nabla + X$ satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation for $-\delta$, which is equivalent to $C = 0$, with

$$C = R^\vee + \nabla^L(X) - \delta(X) + \frac{1}{2}[X, X].$$

Here, we used the fact that $\delta(\nabla) = 0$ (first item of Lemma 3.4) and the identity $\nabla^2 = R^\vee$.

Assume that $C = 0$. Applying κ to $C = 0$ and using $\kappa(X) = 0$, $\iota^*(X) = 0$ and the homotopy formula (Lemma 3.2 (3)), we get the equation

$$X = \kappa\left(R^\vee + \nabla^L(X) + \frac{1}{2}[X, X]\right)$$

which has a unique solution in $\Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq 2}(B^\vee) \otimes B)$. Indeed, by definition the map κ raises the b-degree so, starting with the term $X_2 = \kappa(R^\vee) \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 L^\vee \otimes S^2(B^\vee) \otimes B)$, one may then determine the higher order terms by iteration.

With this solution X, let us show that $C = 0$. By definition of X, we have $\kappa(C) = 0$. Moreover, for b-degree reasons, we have $\iota^*(C) = 0$. Finally, using items two and three of Lemma 3.4 and the graded Jacobi identity, we compute that

$$D(C) = \nabla^L(C) - \delta(C) + [X, C] = 0.$$

Lemma 3.5 then implies that $C = 0$. □

Proposition 3.7. *The vector field D turns $\mathcal{M}$ into a dg-manifold such that the inclusion $\iota : \underline{A[1]} \to \mathcal{M}$ and the projection $p : \mathcal{M} \to \underline{L[1]}$ are morphisms of dg-manifolds.*

Proof. Theorem 3.6 shows that D turns $\mathcal{M}$ into a dg-manifold. Being Lie algebroids, $\underline{A[1]}$ and $\underline{L[1]}$ also have a structure of dg-manifold, with the Lie algebroid differential as homological vector field.

Let us show that

$$\iota^* : (\Gamma(\Lambda(L^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee)), D) \to (\Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee)), d_A)$$

is a morphism of complexes. This will imply that $\iota = (\mathrm{id}_M, \iota^*)$ is a morphism of dg-manifolds. Since ι^* is a morphism of algebras, we only check the property on generators. In local coordinates (6), we have $D(1 \otimes b^k) = -\lambda^i \Gamma^k_{ij} b^j - \beta^k$ plus terms of b-degree greater or equal to two. Hence $(\iota^* \circ D)(1 \otimes b^k) = 0 = d_A(\iota^*(1 \otimes b^k))$. Then we have $D(\lambda^k \otimes 1) = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^i \lambda^j C^k_{ij}$. Since A is a subalgebroid of L and $\iota^*(\alpha^i) = \alpha^i$, $\iota^*(\beta^i) = 0$, we have $(\iota^* \circ D)(\lambda^k \otimes 1) = d_A(\iota^*(\lambda^i))$. Finally, for $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have $D(f \otimes 1) = \lambda^i \rho^j_i \frac{\partial}{\partial^j}(f) \otimes 1$ so $(\iota^* \circ D)(f \otimes 1) = d_A(f)$.

Similar calculations show that p is also a morphism of dg-manifolds. □

The next lemma also follows from the fact that the projection p is a morphism of dg-manifolds.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the graded vector bundle defined in (7). Then $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ is stable under the Lie derivative L_D.*

Proof. For a section $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, the Lie derivative $L_D Y$ is the bracket $[D, Y]$ of derivations of $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$. Since $D = \nabla^L - \delta + X$ and since δ and X can be regarded as sections of $\mathcal{D}$, we only need to check that $[\nabla^L, Y] \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. Consider the coordinate expression (9) of ∇^L. The last term is in $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. The first two do not contain variables from $\hat{S}(B^\vee)$, and the vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda^j}$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial b^k}$ commute. So the second claim is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. [4] The first statement is the content of Proposition 3.7. The second statement follows directly from Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 2.3. □

Since it is a dg-Lie algebroid, $\mathcal{D}$ has an Atiyah class $\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}$, i.e. the Atiyah class of the dg-vector bundle $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the dg-Lie algebroid $\mathcal{D}$ (see Section 2.3.2). The Atiyah cocycle At^∇ associated to any choice of $\mathcal{D}$-connection ∇ on $\mathcal{D}$ is a section

$$\mathrm{At}^\nabla \in \Gamma(\mathcal{M}, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})).$$

The operator L_D on $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ extends to $\Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}))$, and we simply write D for this operator. The goal of the next section is to show that the pullback by the inclusion $\iota : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ defines a quasi-isomorphism

$$\iota^* : (\Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})), D) \to (\Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B)), d_A)$$

sending, in cohomology, the Atiyah class $\alpha_{\mathcal{D}}$ to the Atiyah class $\alpha_{(L,A)}$.

[4] Let us recall that this theorem was independently found by Stiénon–Xu [24].

4. Quasi-isomorphisms

In this section, we prove our second main result, Theorem 1.3. For Sections 4.1 and 4.2, we adapt the techniques of [3, Section 10], which corresponds to the case of the matched pair $T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X$ for X a complex manifold.

4.1. **Matched pairs.** Keeping the setting of Section 3, assume now that L comes from a matched pair (A, B). In this case, the splitting $L/A \to L$ is canonical.

Since A and B are both Lie subalgebroids, the operator $\nabla^L : \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}) \to \Omega^{\bullet+1}(\mathcal{B})$ splits into *two* parts

$$\nabla^L = \mathrm{sgn} \circ d_A^\nabla + d_B^\nabla$$

with, for all $p, q \geq 0$,

$$\mathrm{sgn} : \Omega^{p,q}(\mathcal{B}) \to \Omega^{p,q}(\mathcal{B}) : s \mapsto (-1)^q s,$$

$$d_A^\nabla : \Omega^{p,q}(\mathcal{B}) \to \Omega^{p+1,q}(\mathcal{B}), \tag{11}$$

and

$$d_B^\nabla : \Omega^{p,q}(\mathcal{B}) \to \Omega^{p,q+1}(\mathcal{B}).$$

The operator d_A^∇ coincides with d_A on $\Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B})$ and squares to zero, $(d_A^\nabla)^2 = 0$.

Similarly, we can write

$$X = X_A + X_B$$

with

$$X_A \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 A^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq 2}(B^\vee) \otimes B),$$
$$X_B \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 B^\vee \otimes \hat{S}^{\geq 2}(B^\vee) \otimes B).$$

With these decompositions, we have

$$D = D_A + D_B$$

with, for all $p, q \geq 0$,

$$D_A : \Omega^{p,q}(\mathcal{B}) \to \Omega^{p+1,q}(\mathcal{B}), \qquad D_A = \mathrm{sgn} \circ \left(d_A^\nabla + [X_A, \cdot]\right),$$

and

$$D_B : \Omega^{p,q}(\mathcal{B}) \to \Omega^{p,q+1}(\mathcal{B}), \qquad D_B = d_B^\nabla - \delta + [X_B, \cdot].$$

Checking the degrees of the image of D^2, it is immediate that $D^2 = 0$ implies

$$(D_A)^2 = 0, \qquad D_A \circ D_B + D_B \circ D_A = 0, \qquad (D_B)^2 = 0. \tag{12}$$

4.2. **Fedosov resolution.** The goal of this section is to prove that there is a resolution

$$0 \to \Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B)) \xrightarrow{\eta \circ \mu \circ \pi^*} \Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{D_B} \Omega^{\bullet,1}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{D_B} \Omega^{\bullet,2}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{D_B} \cdots$$

of the complex

$$(\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B)), d_A) \tag{13}$$

by the complexes

$$(\Omega^{\bullet,q}(\mathcal{B}), d_A^\nabla), \qquad q \geq 0.$$

This will imply that the inclusion $\iota : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}$ induces a quasi-isomorphism between the total complex $(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}), D)$ and the complex (13) computing the $\mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B)$-valued A-cohomology.

Since we will use the projection $\pi^* \circ \iota^*$ many times, let us set

$$\sigma = \pi^* \circ \iota^*. \tag{14}$$

Lemma 4.1. *The map*

$$\iota^* : (\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}), D) \to (\Gamma(\Lambda^\bullet A^\vee \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B)), d_A) \tag{15}$$

is a morphism of complexes.

Proof. Since D_B raises the B-form degree, we have $\iota^* \circ D_B = 0$, so it is enough to prove

$$\iota^* \circ D_A = d_A \circ \iota^*.$$

Since $[X_A, \cdot]$ raises the b-degree, we get

$$\iota^* \circ D_A = \iota^* \circ \mathrm{sgn} \circ (d_A^\nabla + [X_A, \cdot]) = d_A \circ \iota^*. \qquad \square$$

The next few results will be devoted to building an explicit quasi-inverse to the map ι^* in (15).

Proposition 4.2. *The inclusion map η from $\Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(D_B)$ to $\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B})$ induces an isomorphism*

$$H^\bullet(\Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(D_B), D_A) \to H^\bullet\left(\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}), D\right). \tag{16}$$

Proof. Consider the double complex

$$C^{\bullet,\bullet} = (\Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{B}), D_A, D_B).$$

The right-hand side of (16) is the cohomology of the total complex $C^\bullet = (\Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{B}), D)$.

We first want to compute the D_B-cohomology of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}$.

Let a be an element of $\Omega^{\bullet,q}(\mathcal{B})$ for $q > 0$ such that $D_B(a) = 0$. We will show that the equation $a = D_B(b)$ has an explicit solution b. Since κ raises the b-degree, solving the recursive equation

$$b = \kappa(-a + d_B^\nabla(b) + [X_B, b]) \tag{17}$$

yields a unique element $b \in \Omega^{\bullet,q-1}(\mathcal{B})$. Applying δ to (17) and using the homotopy formula gives

$$D_B(b) - a = \kappa\delta(-a + d_B^\nabla(b) + [X_B, b]).$$

Writing $h = D_B(b) - a \in \Omega^{\bullet,q}(\mathcal{B})$, we see that $D_B(h) = 0$, $\kappa(h) = 0$, and $\iota^*(h) = 0$. Lemma 3.5 then shows that $h = 0$.

We just proved that the D_B-cohomology of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is

$$H^\bullet(C^{\bullet,\bullet}, D_B) = H^0(C^{\bullet,\bullet}, D_B) = \Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(D_B).$$

Let us now consider the spectral sequence associated to the total complex $C^\bullet$ and the filtration $F^k C^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n, p\geq k} C^{p,q}$. We have $E_0^{p,q} \cong C^{p,q}$ with $D_0 = D_B$. Since the D_B-cohomology of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is concentrated in degree 0, the spectral sequence stabilizes at the second page, and the cohomology of the total complex $C^\bullet$ is

$$H^\bullet(C^\bullet, D) = H^\bullet(H^0(C^{\bullet,\bullet}, D_B), D_A) = H^\bullet(\Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(D_B), D_A). \qquad \square$$

Proposition 4.3. *The formula*

$$\mu(a) = a + \kappa((D_B + \delta)(\mu(a))) \tag{18}$$

defines an isomorphism of graded vector spaces

$$\mu : \Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(\delta) \to \Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(D_B).$$

Proof. Let $a \in \Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(\delta)$. We need to show first that the recursion relation (18) actually defines a unique element $\mu(a)$ and then that

$$D_B(\mu(a)) = 0.$$

As before, observe that k raises the b-degree and the operator $D_B + \delta = d_B^\nabla + [X_B, \cdot]$ preserves it. Consequently, equation (18) has for each a a unique solution $\mu(a)$ such that

$$\sigma(\mu(a)) = a. \tag{19}$$

Let us show that $D_B(\mu(a)) = 0$. Notice that κ vanishes on the image of μ. Using the definition of μ and the homotopy formula one has that

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(D_B(\mu(a))) &= \kappa\left((D_B+\delta)(\mu(a))\right) - \kappa\delta(\mu(a)) \\ &= \mu(a) - a + \delta\kappa(\mu(a)) - \mu(a) + \sigma(\mu(a)) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

We have $D_B(D_B(\mu(a))) = 0$ and $D_B(\mu(a)) \in \Omega^{\bullet,1}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \ker(\iota^*)$. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that $D_B(\mu(a)) = 0$.

Let us show that μ is an isomorphism. Since μ has left-inverse σ (see (19)), it is injective. To prove that μ is surjective, observe that for $b \in \Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(D_B)$ one has $\sigma(\mu(\sigma(b))) = \sigma(b)$. But then $b = \mu(\sigma(b))$ by Lemma 3.5. □

Proposition 4.4. *The map μ is an isomorphism of complexes*

$$\mu : (\Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(\delta), d_A^\nabla) \to (\Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(D_B), D_A).$$

Proof. Let $a \in \Omega^{\bullet,0}(\mathcal{B}) \cap \ker(\delta)$. We will show that $D_A(\mu(a)) = \mu(d_A^\nabla(a))$ by applying Lemma 3.5 to their difference.

For B-form degree reasons, κ vanishes on both elements. By definition of μ, $D_B \circ \mu = 0$ so $D_B(\mu(d_A^\nabla(a))) = 0$. By (12),

$$D_B(D_A(\mu(a))) = -D_A(D_B(\mu(a))) = 0.$$

Furthermore, since $[X_A, \cdot\,]$ raises the b-degree, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(D_A(\mu(a))) &= \sigma \circ \operatorname{sgn}\left(d_A^\nabla(\mu(a)) + [X_A, \mu(a)]\right) = \sigma(d_A^\nabla(\mu((a))) \\ &= \sigma\left(d_A^\nabla(a) + d_A^\nabla(k(D_B+\delta)(\mu(a)))\right) \\ &= \sigma(d_A^\nabla(a)) = d_A^\nabla(a) = \sigma(\mu(d_A^\nabla(a))).\end{aligned}$$

So Lemma 3.5 gives $D_A(\mu(a)) = \mu(d_A^\nabla(a))$. □

Proposition 4.5. *The map (15) is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. Consider the map $\eta \circ \mu \circ \pi^*$ (see Propositions 4.2 and 4.4). On the one hand, it is a right-inverse of ι^*. Indeed, applying ι^* on the left and π^* on the right of (19) yields $\iota^* \circ (\eta \circ \mu \circ \pi^*) = \mathrm{id}$. On the other hand, we have seen in Propositions 4.2 and 4.4 that η and μ are quasi-isomorphisms, and by definition of π and d_A^∇, π^* is an isomorphism of complexes onto the domain of μ. As a result, ι^* is also a quasi-isomorphism, with quasi-inverse $\eta \circ \mu \circ \pi^*$. □

4.3. **Atiyah classes.** We begin with a short lemma about $\mathcal{D}$-connections on $\mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let ∇ be a $\mathcal{D}$-connection on $\mathcal{D}$. Then there exists a unique $S \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\iota^*\mathcal{D} \otimes \iota^*\mathcal{D}, \iota^*\mathcal{D})) \cong \Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B))$ such that*

$$\iota^*(\nabla_X Y) = S(\iota^* X, \iota^* Y)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ of b-degree zero.

Proof. There is an explicit $\mathcal{D}$-connection ∇^0 on $\mathcal{D}$ defined on constant sections

$$\partial_i := 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial b^i} \in \Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes \Lambda(B^\vee) \otimes \hat{S}(B^\vee) \otimes B) \tag{20}$$

by

$$\nabla^0_{\partial_i} \partial_j = 0$$

and extended by linearity and the Leibniz rule. It is easy to check that ∇^0 is well-defined and satisfies $\iota^*(\nabla^0_X Y) = 0$ for all sections $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ of b-degree zero.

Now any $\mathcal{D}$-connection on $\mathcal{D}$ is of the form $\nabla = \nabla^0 + T$ for some $T \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}))$. The result follows with $S = \iota^*(T)$. □

We can now turn to the proof of our second main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The fact that ι^* is a quasi-isomorphism was proved in Proposition 4.5.

Let us now prove the statement about the Atiyah class. Recall that ι is the inclusion of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and that the bundle $\underline{A[1] \oplus B} \to \underline{A[1]}$ is isomorphic to the pullback bundle of $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}$ by ι. Hence, we have pullback maps

$$\iota^* : \Gamma(\mathcal{D}) \to \Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes B)$$

and

$$\iota^* : \Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})) \to \Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B)).$$

For all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$, there is a commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{X,Y}} & \Gamma(\mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota^*} \\ \Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(B \otimes B, B)) & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{ev}_{\iota^*(X),\iota^*(Y)}]{} & \Gamma(\Lambda(A^\vee) \otimes B) \end{array}$$

where ev denotes the evaluation.

Let ∇ be a $\mathcal{D}$-connection on $\mathcal{D}$. Recall the definitions of the Atiyah cocycles from (4) and (5). We are going to check that

$$\iota^*(\mathrm{At}^\nabla(X, Y)) = \mathrm{At}^{\nabla^L}(\iota^* X, \iota^* Y) + d_A(S)(\iota^* X, \iota^* Y), \tag{21}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and for some $S \in \Gamma(\mathrm{Hom}(\iota^*\mathcal{D} \otimes \iota^*\mathcal{D}, \iota^*\mathcal{D}))$. This will imply that $\iota^*(\alpha_\mathcal{D}) = \alpha_{(L,A)}$ in cohomology.

Since the Atiyah cocycle is $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$-linear, we may restrict to constant sections (20). On such elements, we have

$$\mathrm{At}^\nabla(\partial_i, \partial_j) = D\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j - \nabla_{D\partial_i}\partial_j - \nabla_{\partial_i} D\partial_j.$$

Recall that $D = \nabla^L - \delta + [X, \cdot]$. We will now consider the contribution of each term of D separately.

Since δ lowers the b-degree, $\delta(\partial_i) = 0$. Moreover, since δ raises the B-form degree, $\delta(\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j)$ is of B-form degree at least one (actually, one), so ι^* vanishes on it. Hence, the terms involving δ in $\iota^*(\mathrm{At}^\nabla(\partial_i, \partial_j))$ vanish.

Consider now the terms involving ∇^L. Recall that $\nabla^L = \mathrm{sgn} \circ d_A^\nabla + d_B^\nabla$. Since ∇ is linear in the first argument and d_B^∇ raises the B-form degree by one, we obviously have

$$\iota^*(d_B^\nabla(\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \iota^*(\nabla_{d_B^\nabla(\partial_i)}\partial_j) = 0.$$

The term $\iota^*(\nabla_{\partial_i} d_B^\nabla(\partial_j))$ vanishes for the same reason using the Leibniz identity

$$\nabla_X(fY) = X(f)Y + (-1)^{|f||X|} f \nabla_X Y$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ and $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, and the fact that sections of $\mathcal{D}$ only act on the $\hat{S}(B^\vee)$ part of f (remember that $\mathcal{D}$ is a subalgebroid of $T\mathcal{M}$, so its anchor map is the inclusion). As a result, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^*\left(\nabla^L\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j - \nabla_{\nabla^L\partial_i}\partial_j - \nabla_{\partial_i}\nabla^L\partial_j\right) &= \iota^*\left(d_A^\nabla\nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j - \nabla_{d_A^\nabla\partial_i}\partial_j - \nabla_{\partial_i} d_A^\nabla\partial_j\right) \\ &= d_A(S)(\iota^*\partial_i, \iota^*\partial_j) \end{aligned}$$

for some S given by Lemma 4.6.

Let us finally turn to the elements involving X. Recall that $X = \sum_{k\geq 2} X_k$ with $X_k \in \Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{B}^k)$. Consequently, since ι^* vanishes on elements with non-zero b-degree, we have

$$\iota^*([X, \nabla_{\partial_i}\partial_j]) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \iota^*(\nabla_{[X,\partial_i]}\partial_j) = 0.$$

Now notice that $[X, \partial_j]$ is equal to $[X_2, \partial_j]$ plus terms of b-degree greater than 2 that vanish under ι^*. We may decompose X_2 as

$$X_{2,A} + X_{2,B} \in \Omega^{1,0}(\mathcal{B}^2) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{B}^2)$$

and notice that $\iota^*(\nabla_{\partial_i}[X_{2,B}, \partial_j])$ vanishes for B-form degree reasons. Recall from Theorem 3.6 that $X_2 = \kappa(R^\vee)$. So $X_{2,A}$ takes the explicit form

$$X_{2,A} = \frac{1}{2} R^l_{kij}\alpha^k \otimes 1 \otimes b^i b^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial b^l}.$$

Hence, using Lemma 3.4 (4), we get

$$\iota^*(\nabla_{\partial_i}[X, \partial_j]) = -R^l_{kij}\alpha^k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial b^l} = -\operatorname{At}^{\nabla^L}(\partial_i, \partial_j).$$

Putting everything together, we have obtained (21). □

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, *Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 181–207. DOI:10.1090/S0002-9947-1957-0086359-5 MR:0086359

[2] D. Calaque, V. Dolgushev, and G. Halbout, *Formality theorems for Hochschild chains in the Lie algebroid setting*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **612** (2007), 81–127. DOI:10.1515/CRELLE.2007.085 MR:2364075 ARXIV:math/0504372

[3] D. Calaque and C. A. Rossi, *Lectures on Duflo isomorphisms in Lie algebra and complex geometry*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2011. DOI:10.4171/096 MR:2816610

[4] D. Calaque and M. Van den Bergh, *Hochschild cohomology and Atiyah classes*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), no. 5, 1839–1889. DOI:10.1016/j.aim.2010.01.012 MR:2646112 ARXIV:0708.2725

[5] A. S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, *Relative formality theorem and quantisation of coisotropic submanifolds*, Adv. Math. **208** (2007), no. 2, 521–548. DOI:10.1016/j.aim.2006.03.010 MR:2304327 ARXIV:math/0501540

[6] Z. Chen, M. Stiénon, and P. Xu, *From Atiyah Classes to Homotopy Leibniz Algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **341** (2016), no. 1, 309–349. DOI:10.1007/s00220-015-2494-6 MR:3439229 ARXIV:1204.1075

[7] I. Ciocan-Fontanine and M. Kapranov, *Derived Quot schemes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **34** (2001), no. 3, 403–440. DOI:10.1016/S0012-9593(01)01064-3 MR:1839580 ARXIV:math/9905174

[8] K. J. Costello, *A geometric construction of the Witten genus, II*, 2011. ARXIV:1112.0816

[9] T. Covolo, J. Grabowski, and N. Poncin, *$\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-supergeometry I: manifolds and morphisms*, 2014. ARXIV:1408.2755

[10] V. Dolgushev, *Covariant and equivariant formality theorems*, Adv. Math. **191** (2005), no. 1, 147–177. DOI:10.1016/j.aim.2004.02.001 MR:2102846 ARXIV:math/0307212

[11] B. V. Fedosov, *A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization*, J. Differential Geom. **40** (1994), no. 2, 213–238. MR:1293654

[12] O. Gwilliam and R. Grady, *One-dimensional Chern-Simons theory and the $\hat{A}$ genus*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **14** (2014), no. 4, 2299–2377. DOI:10.2140/agt.2014.14.2299 MR:3331615 ARXIV:1110.3533

[13] M. Kapranov, *Rozansky–Witten invariants via Atiyah classes*, Compositio Math. **115** (1999), no. 1, 71–113. DOI:10.1023/A:1000664527238 MR:1671737 ARXIV:alg-geom/9704009

[14] M. Kontsevich, *Rozansky-Witten invariants via formal geometry*, Compositio Math. **115** (1999), no. 1, 115–127. DOI:10.1023/A:1000619911308 MR:1671725 ARXIV:dg-ga/9704009

[15] ———, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003), no. 3, 157–216. DOI:10.1023/B:MATH.0000027508.00421.bf MR:2062626 ARXIV:q-alg/9709040

[16] R. A. Mehta, *Q-algebroids and their cohomology*, J. Symplectic Geom. **7** (2009), no. 3, 263–293. MR:2534186 ARXIV:math/0703234

[17] ———, *Q-groupoids and their cohomology*, Pacific J. Math. **242** (2009), no. 2, 311–332. DOI:10.2140/pjm.2009.242.311 MR:2546715 ARXIV:math/0611924

[18] R. A. Mehta, M. Stiénon, and P. Xu, *The Atiyah class of a dg-vector bundle*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **353** (2015), no. 4, 357–362. DOI:10.1016/j.crma.2015.01.019 MR:3319134 ARXIV:1502.03119

[19] T. Mokri, *Matched pairs of Lie algebroids*, Glasgow Math. J. **39** (1997), no. 2, 167–181. DOI:10.1017/S0017089500032055 MR:1460632

[20] J. Pradines, *Représentation des jets non holonomes par des morphismes vectoriels doubles soudés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **278** (1974), 1523–1526. MR:0388432

[21] D. Roytenberg, *On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids*, Quantization, Poisson brackets and beyond (Manchester, 2001), Contemp. Math., vol. 315, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 169–185. DOI:10.1090/conm/315/05479 MR:1958835 ARXIV:math/0203110

[22] P. Ševera, *Some title containing the words "homotopy" and "symplectic", e.g. this one*, Travaux mathématiques. Fasc. XVI, Trav. Math., XVI, Univ. Luxemb., Luxembourg, 2005, pp. 121–137. MR:2223155 ARXIV:math/0105080

[23] B. Shoikhet, *On the Duflo formula for L_∞-algebras and Q-manifolds*, 1998. ARXIV:math/9812009

[24] M. Stiénon and P. Xu, *Private communication.*

[25] T. Voronov, *Graded manifolds and Drinfeld doubles for Lie bialgebroids*, Quantization, Poisson brackets and beyond (Manchester, 2001), Contemp. Math., vol. 315, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 131–168. DOI:10.1090/conm/315/05478 MR:1958834 ARXIV:math/0105237

Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

E-mail address: batakidis@gmail.com

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany

E-mail address: yannick.voglaire@gmail.com